

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

**PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION  
REGULAR MEETING  
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 3, 2007**

**Chairman Nicholson**, called this meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. at the Coronado Council Chambers, located at 120 Civic Plaza Drive. This is the monthly Regular Meeting of the Town of Taos Planning and Zoning Commission.

**1. ROLL CALL**

*The following were present:*

*Commissioners:* Patrick Nicholson, Chairman  
John Delmargo, Vice-Chairman  
D. Dennis Cruz  
Sheila Grainger  
William Himes  
Lillian Miller  
Eugene A. Sanchez

*Historic Preservation:* Richard Montoya  
Leah Slator  
Peter Wengert

*Planning Department Staff present:*

*Rudy Perea, Planner  
Lou Baker, Planning Director  
Renee Barela-Gutierrez, Town Attorney  
Melinda Vasquez, Planning Assistant*

**2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Pledge of allegiance was recited.

**3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

**Chairman Nicholson** asked for any amendments to the agenda as presented.

**Rudy Perea** asked the Commission to amend the agenda, requested to continue cases P&Z2006-24-B and Case No. P&Z2006-15 and Case No. P&Z2006-24-A to the meeting of February 7.

**No further amendments to the agenda.**

**Commissioner Montoya** made motion to approve the Agenda as amended.

1 **Commissioner Miller** seconded the motion.

2  
3 **Commissioners voted yes verbally on the motion.**

4  
5 **Motion carried unanimously.**

6  
7  
8 **4. ELECTION OF OFFICERS**

9  
10 **A. Election of Chairman**

11 **Chairman Nicholson** opened nominations for Chairman.

12 **Commissioner Delmargo** made a motion to nominate Patrick Nicholson as Chairman.

13  
14  
15 **Commissioner Himes** seconded the motion.

16  
17 **Commissioner Wengert** moved nominations cease.

18  
19  
20 **Commissioner Cruz** seconded nominations cease.

21  
22 **No other nominations presented, nominations closed.**

23  
24 **Commissioners voted yes verbally on the motion.**

25  
26 **Motion carried unanimously.**

27  
28  
29 **B. Election of Vice-Chairman**

30 **Chairman Nicholson** opened nominations for Vice-Chairman.

31 **Commissioner Montoya** made motion to nominate John Delmargo as Vice-Chairman.

32  
33  
34 **Commissioner Miller** moved nominations cease.

35  
36  
37 **Commissioner Himes** seconded nominations cease.

38  
39 **No other nominations presented, nominations closed.**

40  
41 **Commissioners voted yes verbally on the motion.**

42  
43 **Motion carried unanimously.**

44  
45  
46  
47 **5. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTIONS**

48  
49 **A. Resolution 07-01, Open Meetings Act.**

50 **Chairman Nicholson** opened discussion on the Open Meetings Act, as presented.

1 **Commissioner Miller** noted on page 5. B. there is a typo on the first word there,  
2 Should read “if” not “it”.

3  
4 **No further discussion on Resolution 07-01.**

5  
6 **Commissioner Montoya** made motion to adopt Resolution 07-01 Open Meetings Act.

7  
8 **Commissioner Himes** seconded the motion.

9  
10 **Commissioners voted yes verbally on the motion.**

11  
12 **Motion carried unanimously.**

13  
14 **B. Resolution 07-02, Adopton of P&Z By-laws**

15  
16 **Chairman Nicholson** opened discussion on the by-laws, as presented.

17  
18 **Commissioner Himes** made motion to adopt Resolution 07-07 of P&Z by-laws.

19  
20 **Commissioner Miller** seconded the motion.

21  
22 **Commissioner Slator** asked for clarification on section 4.4, the number of members for  
23 a quorum.

24  
25 **Rudy Perea** stated that under section 4, quorum requires six members for the Historic  
26 Commission and only four members for the Planning Commission.

27  
28 **Chairman Nicholson** stated that a majority of members would be four for the Planning  
29 Commission and six for the Historical Commission.

30  
31 **Commissioners voted yes verbally on the motion.**

32  
33 **Motion carried unanimously.**

34  
35 **C. Resolution of 07-03, Code of Ethics**

36  
37 **Chairman Nicholson** opened discussion on the code of ethics as presented.

38  
39 **Commissioner Grainger** noted on number six on page 2. Recommends amending this  
40 to that “no personal opinions should be expanded upon from the Commission” because it  
41 takes away from any objectivity and fairness to the applicant.

42  
43 **Chairman Nicholson** asked for discussion on the proposed amendment.

44  
45 **Commissioner Grainger** stated that a Commissioner should not express a personal  
46 opinion and try to get the other Commissioners to agree on that personal opinion during a  
47 meeting. The Commission should be objective and fair, no personal opinions should be  
48 stated to try to sway the Commission.

49  
50 **Commissioner Slator** stated that there should also be wording decisions should be  
51 based upon the Commissions interpretation of the LUDC Code.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

**Chairman Nicholson** stated that these items should be stated exclusively.

**Commissioner Sanchez** stated it should read that “ the Commission shall not render a decision to be in violation of the Town of Taos Land Use Development Code and all applicable State and Federal Laws.”

**Commissioner Slator** stated it should be emphasized that the Commission is an interpretation of the LUDC.

**Chairman Nicholson** asked staff if what was just discussed is enough for revisions to the code of ethics.

**Lou Baker** stated yes, she will work with staff on those recommended revisions in the morning, and will email the Commission and asked for a return response by noon.

**Commissioner Himes** asked if an ethics violation could be grounds for the public to use this to get Commissioners removed.

**Renee Barela-Gutierrez** stated that the code of ethics is policy, it is not law, they are guidelines for the Commission to follow.

**Chairman Nicholson** recommended the Commission consider Resolution 07-03 at the February 7 meeting.

**Commissioners agreed unanimously.**

**6. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 6, 2006**

**Chairman Nicholson** asked for any corrections on the minutes as presented.

**No corrections noted.**

**Commissioner Wengert** made a motion to approve the minutes as presented.

**Commissioner Slator** seconded the motion.

**Commissioners voted yes verbally on the motion.**

**Motion carried unanimously.**

**7. UPDATE FROM MATTHEW FOSTER, LONG RANGE PLANNER**

**Matthew Foster** gave updates on the status of the Land Use Master Plan RFP; informed the Commission on CLG grant proposal.

**Commissioners supported Matt Foster on the applications for grant funds.**

1 **Rudy Perea read the Rules for Public Hearings.**  
2  
3

4 **8. PRELIMINARY PUBLIC HEARINGS**  
5

6 **A. Case No. P&Z 2006-29**

7 **Bettie Kierstein, property owner; Mary Green, agent; requesting a**  
8 ***Conditional Use Permit, to operate an in-home massage therapy practice; the***  
9 **property is located at 103 Valverde Street, in the R-4 zone of the Town of**  
10 **Taos.**  
11

12  
13 **Ms. Mary Green** is sworn in, stated that she has submitted additional documentation in  
14 the meeting packet, stated she has spoken with neighbors door to door about the  
15 conditional use application; discussed the proposed “waiver” or “release and hold  
16 harmless” agreement for parking across the street; the long term parking agreement has  
17 been acquired; clients in the evening or in bad weather can park right up the door; the  
18 easement exists on the property; discussed the minimal use of traffic on the property; at  
19 most will probably have a total 5-8 clients a week at her best, two clients a day is  
20 unusual; Proposes to work on her writings and do massage therapy for only a short time.  
21 Hopes all the concerns have been addressed and because she has not been able to work at  
22 home has done house visits, causing more increased traffic in and out.  
23

24 **Commissioner Grainger** stated that last month, it was indicated the home had to be  
25 ADA compliant, and asked if that has been accomplished, especially for someone in a  
26 wheel chair.  
27

28 **Ms. Green** stated that the Town Building Inspector has done a review and has written a  
29 memo to the Commission which is included in the packet, if someone calling who uses a  
30 wheel chair can accommodate them in another room and they can readily access the  
31 building near the doorway.  
32

33 **Commissioner Grainger** stated that since this a commercial venture, asked Rudy what  
34 the staff recommends.  
35

36 **Rudy Perea** stated that he has talked to the building inspectors about this application,  
37 they, in general, any commercial buildings require ADA accessibility, is not familiar with  
38 the building codes, the building officials determine when access needs to be modified, in  
39 this case the building officials have determined that this applicant does not have to meet  
40 those commercial requirements.  
41

42 **Commissioner Slator** asked Ms. Green what kind of training she is allowed to do.  
43

44 **Ms. Green** stated her resume was included in the first packet, is trained to do light touch  
45 massage, healing, sports related conditions and specializes in doing two to three hour  
46 sessions.  
47

48 **Chairman Nicholson** opened the application for public comment.  
49  
50

1 **Ms. Ande Shepard** is sworn in, stated she in support, is Mary's friend and is her  
2 daughter in law, presented letters from the neighbors who support Mary and are unable to  
3 be here tonight, those letters were included in the meeting packet. Read a letter from  
4 Latifa Weinman.

5  
6 **Ms. Stephanie Waters** is sworn in, stated she is in support of Mary, stated she was the  
7 previous tenant at this location, stated she had more trips in and out, just taking her  
8 children to school and work and supports Mary in her endeavor to support herself.  
9

10 **Rudy Perea** gave the Commission a copy of letter from Jaime Schuetz, who submitted a  
11 letter after the packets were distributed.  
12

13 **Ms. Janie Schuetz** is sworn in, stated she is the next door neighbor, opposes the  
14 conditional use permit, read her letter that was just submitted to the Commission;  
15 questioned the legality of the long-term parking agreement and the "waiver" of liability;  
16 questioned the building inspectors interpretation of public funded accesses; questioned  
17 the dog and cat occupying the place of business; stated that the railroad ties she has  
18 placed are well within her property line not on the easement and there is no improper use  
19 of the easement nor has easement ever been denied; asked if the easement to her property  
20 which is shared by this applicant's easement will that change her easement from private  
21 to public easement.  
22

23 **Commissioner Sanchez** asked if the easement is to be used by 101, 103 and 106.  
24

25 **Ms. Schuetz** stated the twelve foot easement is used by the 101, 103 and 106 residents.  
26

27 **Commissioner Grainger** stated that some legal issues have been raised and requests  
28 the Town Attorney advise the Commission on the legality of the easement use.  
29

30 **Chairman Nicholson** continued with the public comment.  
31

32 **Ms. Pat Allen** is sworn in, stated she is in support of Mary, believes the home  
33 occupation is in keeping with the character of Taos, spoke of how Mary has been  
34 dependent on her friends to help her make it through this time without work.  
35

36 **No further public comment.**  
37

38 **Chairman Nicholson** closed the public comment on the application and allowed Ms.  
39 Green to respond to the comments.  
40

41 **Ms. Green** stated she understands the legality of the easement, the easement was  
42 recently measured at places less than twelve feet, also, the railroad ties are  
43 inappropriately based, stated that is not the venue for discussion this evening; the word  
44 place of business is very misleading an in home occupation is a better wording for her  
45 situation, the dog and cat are not in the massage room; believes her housing situation  
46 should not have been mentioned in the record believes that will leave her open to attack;  
47 believes that granting this conditional use will not set a precedent; understands that the  
48 "waiver" of liability is a good working document; stated she had proposed to set up a  
49 situation that is considerate of her neighbors and responsive to their situations; being able  
50 to have the option to park across the street was the best situation and the waiver will  
51 cover all from liability.

1 **Commissioner Wengert** asked why the long-term agreement has not been notarized and  
2 is concerned with the term of eighteen months.

3  
4 **Ms. Green** stated that she can acquire a signed agreement, thought that eighteen months  
5 was a sufficient time to begin with the property owner stated she will extend the time if  
6 needed at that time.

7  
8 **Chairman Nicholson** closed the public hearing and asked the Town Attorney to address  
9 some Commission concerns.

10  
11 **Renee Barela-Gutierrez** addressed Ms. Schuetz letter, item one – in regard to the  
12 release and hold harmless document, this is not a contract, it is a release it is a one sided  
13 party, therefore, the release submitted in the packet is adequate and legally binding that  
14 does not need to be notarized. Item number two, the long term parking agreement, this is  
15 a contract between two private parties, does not need to be notarized only the agreement  
16 between the two parties, with two signatures; suggests to the applicant some  
17 consideration in exchange. Item number 3- references a letter from the building official  
18 and the statement that the applicant receives section eight housing, those two are separate  
19 issues, the section eight housing is for housing not for running of a business. Number  
20 four, defers to the building officials in their knowledge of ADA regulations, that is not a  
21 legal issue. Item number 5 references the applicants animals, and defers to the State to  
22 license massage therapists. Item number 6, discusses inappropriate uses of the easement,  
23 is not familiar with the easement and will meet with Rudy to review that portion of the  
24 application. Item number 7, discusses access onto Valverde, again, this an easement  
25 issue she will need to clarify.

26  
27 **Commissioner Himes** asked if the renovations need to be done by the property owner  
28 and not by the tenant, will the property owner come back to the Commission that she did  
29 not allow the renovations, especially under section eight housing.

30  
31 **Renee Barela-Gutierrez** stated that section eight housing allows funds for housing, and  
32 the tenant has signed a lease with the property owner, so that is something between the  
33 tenant and property owner, does not foresee these as an issue.

34  
35 **Commissioner Sanchez** stated he has a concern with traffic on Valverde Street, and this  
36 has to be considered, it is a problem; the other concern is the business aspect of this to  
37 protect the interests of the community.

38  
39 **Commissioner Cruz** asked if staff has reviewed the restrictions on the easement.

40  
41 **Rudy Perea** stated he had the applicant review that easement with her attorney friend, to  
42 review the type of use that easement is for, and that is why she submitted documentation  
43 in that issue.

44  
45 **Commissioner Delmargo** stated that the traffic is not an issue, Valverde Street does  
46 have traffic but having one more car will not impact that situation; believes the applicant  
47 has made every effort to be considerate of the neighbors, and the accessibility to her  
48 apartment, that easement is a part of that whole property and cannot be denied access, so  
49 the negative impacts would be very minimal and considering the type of work to be done  
50 are positive and are great, personally, thinks cottage industry should be promoted and this

1 is one, and would like to make a motion to move Case No. P&Z2006-29 to final public  
2 hearing.

3  
4 **Commissioner Cruz** seconded the motion.

5  
6 **Commissioner Grainger** stated she would like to know what kind of easement this is,  
7 there are different kinds.

8  
9 **Rudy Perea** stated he will review the documentation with the Town Attorney and  
10 address that issue.

11  
12 **Commissioner Sanchez** stated that he is concerned with the process, should section  
13 eight require ADA requirements, that will need to be addressed.

14  
15 **Commissioner Himes** stated there are some issues tied up into one application and all  
16 issues need to be addressed.

17  
18 **Commissioners voting yes on the motion: Commissioner Delmargo, Commissioner**  
19 **Sanchez, Commissioner Miller, Commissioner Cruz.**

20  
21 **Commissioners voting no on the motion: Commissioner Himes, Commissioner**  
22 **Grainger.**

23  
24 **Motion carried.**

25  
26  
27  
28 **B. Case No. P&Z 2006-24-B**  
29 **Dos Arroyos Dos LLC, property owner; Mark Yaravitz, Agent; requesting**  
30 **Sketch Plan review for a 10 lot Subdivision,**  
31 **the property is located on 4.03 acres at the north side of the current Dos**  
32 **Arroyos Subdivision.**  
33 **(Continued to be heard 02/07/07)**

34  
35  
36  
37 **9. PUBLIC HEARINGS**

38  
39 **A. Case No. P&Z 2006-27**  
40 **Dominican Sisters of St. Catherine of Siena, property owners; Living**  
41 **Design Group LLC, Doug Patterson, Agent; requesting a *Certificate***  
42 ***of Appropriateness*, for new construction and remodel of residence**  
43 **located at 119 Brooks St., the property is a .577 acre property in the**  
44 **R-14 zone of the Town of Taos.**

45  
46  
47 **Mr. Doug Patterson** is sworn in, stated in a quick overview, it was walked through at  
48 the last public hearing, will cover what was asked to review, staff requested a  
49 construction staging plan, to verify minimal impact on the neighborhood; sheet C  
50 indicates that plan; second request was to review the site plan with the fire department, a

1 walk through the site was conducted with Eric Montoya, he stated he would sign off on  
2 the site plan.

3  
4 **Chairman Nicholson** asked Rudy if the construction staging plan is sufficient.

5  
6 **Rudy Perea** stated what was submitted is sufficient.

7  
8 **Mr. Patterson** stated there have been no further revisions to what has been submitted.

9  
10 **Commissioner Montoya** stated that there were some recommendations from staff  
11 regarding the proposed windows and the bullnose requirements on the windows in the  
12 historic district, needing to be a minimum of 4 inches and meeting some demonstration  
13 that this will be accomplished at the time that building permit is applied for, is this  
14 something acceptable.

15  
16 **Mr. Patterson** stated that is acceptable, the existing building has thick adobe and all the  
17 window replacements will have in excess of 4 inch bullnose.

18  
19 **Commissioner Montoya** stated the other recommendation from staff was to submit  
20 color sample for the proposed door.

21  
22 **Mr. Patterson** stated that the color sample for the door will be identical to the window  
23 trim.

24  
25 **Commissioner Montoya** stated the recommendation that the applicant submit technical  
26 details on the proposed door that it brings into compliance with subsection F. 5. D. which  
27 is window and door openings to be wooden or combination of wood and glass.

28  
29 **Mr. Patterson** stated that the plan submitted was noted incorrectly, on the renovation a  
30 new door for maintenance purposes will be a wood door with metal clad, and the color  
31 proposed is on the chart, stated that he is acceptable to the recommendations in the staff  
32 report.

33  
34 **Chairman Nicholson** opened the application for public comment.

35  
36 **No public comment noted.**

37  
38 **Chairman Nicholson** closed the application for public comment and asked for  
39 discussion from the Commission.

40  
41 **Commissioner Montoya** made motion to approve case number P&Z2006-27, based on  
42 the findings of fact and the recommended conditions from the staff.

43  
44 **Commissioner Wengert** seconded the motion.

45  
46 **Commissioners voting yes on the motion: Commissioner Slator, Commissioner**  
47 **Delmargo, Commissioner Montoya, Commissioner Sanchez, Commissioner Himes,**  
48 **Commissioner Miller, Commissioner Wengert, Commissioner Grainger,**  
49 **Commissioner Cruz.**

50  
51 **Motion carried unanimously.**

1                    **B.        Case No. P&Z 2006-28**  
2                    **Cecilia V. Rael, property owner; requesting a *Lot Size Variance*, from**  
3                    **the required .50 acre to .47 acre; the property is located at 801**  
4                    **Salazar Street, in the R-2 zone of the Town of Taos.**

5  
6                    **Ms. Cecilia Rael** is sworn, stating she has no new information to add from the last  
7                    public hearing.

8  
9                    **Chairman Nicholson** opened the application for public comment.

10  
11                   **No public comment noted.**

12  
13                   **Chairman Nicholson** closed the public comment on the application and entertained a  
14                   motion from the Commission.

15  
16                   **Commissioner Sanchez** made motion to approve case number P&Z2006-28 as  
17                   recommended by staff.

18  
19                   **Commissioner Grainger** seconded the motion.

20  
21                   **Commissioners voting yes on the motion: Commissioner Delmargo, Commissioner**  
22                   **Sanchez, Commissioner Himes, Commissioner Miller, Commissioner Grainger,**  
23                   **Commissioner Cruz.**

24  
25                   **Motion carried unanimously.**

26  
27  
28  
29                   **D.        Case No. P&Z 2006-30**  
30                   **Dreamtree Project, Inc., Property Owner; Wayne Rutherford –**  
31                   **Rutherford Construction Associates, Agent; requesting a *Special***  
32                   ***Use Permit*, to locate an emergency youth shelter/group home; the**  
33                   **property is located at 708 Felicidad Lane, in the R-2 zone of the**  
34                   **Town of Taos.**

35  
36                   **Chairman Nicholson** stated that staff had requested a continuance on this case this  
37                   evening, but allowed the applicant to make a presentation and answer any questions from  
38                   the Commission.

39  
40                   **Ms. Cami Hartman** is sworn in, and would like to respectfully ask for a special meeting  
41                   before the regular meeting on February 7, would like to move the project in a timely  
42                   manner.

43  
44                   **Commissioner Himes** asked if there have been any significant changes from the last  
45                   hearing.

46  
47                   **Ms. Hartman** stated there have been no changes.

48  
49                   **Rudy Perea** stated he submitted a Memo requesting a continuance because the applicant  
50                   has not submitted a construction staging plan and also has not submitted proof of working  
51                   out issues with the adjacent neighbor; the applicant did submit some guidelines for

1 requirements for admission to the emergency shelter and is waiting for a response from  
2 the Chief of Police in regard to these guidelines, that is the reason for the request for  
3 continuance and the reason why he did not prepare a final staff report.

4  
5 **Ms. Hartman** stated she has visited the neighbors door to door and would like to have  
6 them attend the public hearing.

7  
8 **Lou Baker** stated that she had received a phone call today from Wayne Rutherford, as a  
9 result of the holidays, he apologizes for the oversight on his part and he also requests for  
10 a special meeting to discuss this application, he was informed that request would be  
11 shared with the Commission, realizes there are concerns with the neighborhood, this is an  
12 application where she failed to notify the applicant that there are deadlines to put packets  
13 together and get out to the Commission, apologizes to the Commission for that and  
14 requests a special meeting within seven days.

15  
16 **Chairman Nicholson** recommended that a special meeting is too difficult to hear this  
17 case and asked the Commissioners their thoughts.

18  
19 **Commissioner Himes** agreed that a special meeting is difficult to schedule, the  
20 applicant is present this evening.

21  
22 **Chairman Nicholson** stated that staff should have prepared for this meeting, knowing  
23 the urgency of the project.

24  
25 **Commissioner Wengert** asked when the necessary documentation can be submitted for  
26 review before scheduling a meeting.

27  
28 **Rudy Perea** stated that he does not know what the timeline is, but believes the  
29 information can be submitted within three days.

30  
31 **Commissioner Grainger** stated that there were serious concerns stated by the neighbors  
32 and they need to be present at the hearing.

33  
34 **Ms. Hartman** understood that a special meeting is difficult to schedule, and agreed that  
35 the neighbors need to be present at the hearing and believes the documentation can be  
36 obtained very soon, but the project can wait to the February meeting.

37  
38 **Chairman Nicholson** opened the application for public comment.

39  
40 **No public comment noted.**

41  
42 **Chairman Nicholson** closed the public comment and entertained a motion from the  
43 Commission.

44  
45 **Commissioner Grainger** made a motion to schedule case number P&Z2006-30 to the  
46 regular meeting on February 7.

47  
48 **Commissioner Cruz** seconded the motion.

1 **Commissioners voting yes on the motion: Commissioner Delmargo, Commissioner**  
2 **Sanchez, Commissioner Himes, Commissioner Miller, Commissioner Grainger,**  
3 **Commissioner Cruz.**

4  
5 **Motion carried unanimously.**

6  
7  
8 **C. Case No. P&Z 2006-15**  
9 **Mitchell G. Feldman, property owner; Mark Yaravitz, Agent;**  
10 **requesting *Final Plat* review for a 35 lot Subdivision, the property is**  
11 **located on 22.09 acres at Morgan Road & Roy Road.**  
12 **(Continued to be heard 02/07/07)**

13  
14  
15 **D. Case No. P&Z 2006-24-A**  
16 **Dos Arroyos Dos LLC, property owner; Mark Yaravitz, Agent;**  
17 **requesting a *Zone Change* from the current ARO (Agriculture,**  
18 **Recreation, Open Space, Flood Zone) to an R-4 (Residential Zone);**  
19 **the property is located on 4.03 acres at the north side of the current**  
20 **Dos Arroyos Subdivision.**  
21 **(Continued to be heard 02/07/07)**

22  
23  
24 **10. MATTERS FROM STAFF / COMMISSIONERS**

25  
26  
27 **Lou Baker** gave the Commission her monthly directors report; reminded everyone of  
28 the Council special meeting tomorrow evening to consider the LUDC revisions;  
29 explained the concern the Council had on the way the by-laws were written for the  
30 LUDC revisions Committee and had some revisions to take into consideration; invited  
31 the Commission to the enchanted circle day at the Legislature; invited the Commission to  
32 the January 23 state of the town address by the Mayor; in closing, announced that she  
33 met with Mayor Duran late this afternoon and submitted her letter of resignation, her last  
34 day will be January 26<sup>th</sup>.

35  
36 **Commissioner Montoya** noted on the calendar that the regular meeting of the  
37 Commission will fall on Wednesday, July 4, and would like to make a motion to schedule  
38 for Wednesday, July 11.

39  
40 **Commissioner Himes** seconded the motion.

41  
42 **Commissioners voted yes on the motion.**

43  
44 **Motion carried unanimously.**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

**11. ADJOURNMENT**

**Commissioner Slator** made motion to adjourn.

**Commissioner Montoya** seconded motion.

**Commissioners voted yes on the motion verbally.**

**Motion carried unanimously.**

**Meeting minutes of January 3, 2007 prepared by: \_\_\_\_\_  
Melinda Vasquez, Planning Assistant**